

Optimal Design of Rotating Sliding Surface for Sliding Mode Control

SAEEDI G., SAJAD, MSC
 TARBIAI MODARES UNIVERSITY

BEHESHTI H., MOHAMMAD, PH.D.
 TARBIAI MODARES UNIVERSITY

Abstract— In sliding mode control the less is the reaching time to the switching surface, the more robust is the system. In this paper a systematic control strategy based on an optimal method has been designed to extract a rotation rule for sliding surface. Optimality and robustness of the designed controller against disturbance and variations has been shown via simulation and compared with other methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Generally, in the design of sliding mode controllers following main steps should be done, the determination of a sliding surface that shows the desired stable dynamics and depends on the system type, the extraction of a control rule that guarantees the reaching condition and sliding condition. Beginning from the initial conditions, reaching to the desired states, the phase trajectory of a sliding mode system has two distinguished modes [1]. First the trajectories starting from their initial states off the sliding surface move towards the sliding surface. This phase is known as the reaching phase and in this mode the system is sensitive to parameter variations. Then after hitting to the sliding surface, the sliding phase starts. In this phase the trajectories are insensitive to parameter variations and disturbances [2]. Therefore, various methods have been suggested to eliminate or reduce the system sensitivity by minimizing or removing the reaching phase [3], [4].

An improved sliding surface design method for better and robust controller performance is to deploy time-varying sliding surfaces instead of constant surfaces of classical methods. The sliding surface can be moved by rotating in such a direction that the tracking behavior would be improved.

Hence having the sliding phase and robust behavior from early beginning, the reaching phase will be eliminated or reduced. The initial value of the sliding surface should be designed to pass from the initial states of the system or if not possible, closer to initial states and the final value should be designed based on system characteristics.

There are several methods trying to reduce reaching phase, even using intelligent neuro-fuzzy methods. In [4]

moving and rotating method has been proposed and in [6] a general method has been introduced, in this method some parameters are designed based on system and design requirements. In [7] a mediating approach has been introduced in which, initial tracking signal is changed to fit to the system initial values. The tracking signal gradually tends to its ideal state to have a perfect sliding phase.

II. OPTIMAL CONTROL SURFACE DESIGN

In this design approach, the main idea is to use error dynamics to design an optimal sliding surface. Sliding surface starts to rotate from the given initial value and stops rotating reaching to the final value of the sliding surface, but it rotates in such a way that the error dynamics keeps the minimum value based on a given criterion.

Here the design is explained for a second order system of:

$$\ddot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + u(t)$$

Where $u(t)$ is the control input, $x(t)$ is the (scalar) output of interest, and the dynamics $f(x(t))$ is not exactly known, but estimated as $\hat{f}(x(t))$. The estimation error is assumed to be bounded by some known function $F = F(x, \dot{x})$, i.e.

$$f(x(t)) = \hat{f}(x(t)) + F(x, \dot{x}), \quad |F(x, \dot{x})| \leq F$$

The output should track a known reference signal $x_r(t)$.

According to design principles [5], using the sign function, the sliding surface and the control signal will be:

$$s(t) = \dot{e}(t) + e(t)$$

$$u(t) = \hat{f}(x(t)) - \dot{e}(t) - \ddot{x}_r(t) + q \operatorname{sgn}(s(t))$$

Where




Fig. 1. General Design Scheme

Manuscript received September 11, 2017.
 Sajad Saeedi G. holds Msc from Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran (phone: (98912)2493299, e-mail: sajad.saeedi.g@gmail.com).
 Mohammad Beheshti H., is with Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. (phone: (98912)2845835, e-mail: mbehesht@modares.ac.ir).

$e(t) = x(t) - x_r(t)$, $\lambda(t)$ is the positive sliding surface slope and q is a positive scalar that is bigger than F to guarantee system stability.

Applying the control signal to the main system, we will have following error dynamic:

$$\ddot{e}(t) + \lambda \dot{e}(t) = \Delta f(x(t)) - q \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{e}(t) + \lambda e(t))$$

This dynamic can be interpreted as mentioned in Fig.1, i.e. error dynamics are used to design optimal sliding surface.

Supposing that initial value of λ is such that sliding phase starts on the sliding surface, then in theory we will have:

$$\dot{e}(t) + \lambda e(t) = 0$$

Now the target is the design of $\lambda(t)$ to minimize the following performance index:

$$J = \int_{t_0=0}^{t_f=T} (e^2(t) + \dot{e}^2(t)) dt \quad (1)$$

In which T is the reaching time to the sliding surface that can be fixed or free. This design can be applied to robotics, welding of metallic surfaces with welding robots where optimal design is important.

Solving the above mentioned equation, we will have

$$e(t) = ae^{-\int_0^t \lambda(t) dt}, \quad \dot{e}(t) = -a\lambda(t)e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(t) dt} \quad (2)$$

Where a is defined by the initial value (i.e. $a\lambda_m = a\lambda(0) = -\dot{e}(0)$). In fact $\lambda(t)$, have final fixed value of λ_p and initial value of λ_m . Substituting (2) in (1), the new problem can be defined as following: a continuous function of $\lambda(t)$ with given border values should be designed to minimize the following functional:

$$J = \int_0^T (1 + \lambda^2(t)) e^{-2\int_0^t \lambda(t) dt} dt \quad (3)$$

Where

$$\lambda(0) = \lambda_m$$

$$\lambda(T) = \lambda_p$$

Intuitively, there should be an answer to the problem

because two terms of $1 + \lambda^2(t)$ and $e^{-2\int_0^t \lambda(t) dt}$ have opposite growth by passing the time. In order to simplify the problem, following variable is introduced:

$$\lambda(t) = \dot{v}(t) \Rightarrow \int_0^t \lambda(t) dt = v(t) + c$$

Hence the functional is:

$$J = \int_0^T (1 + \dot{v}^2(t)) e^{-2(v(t)+c)} dt$$

Considering $g = (1 + \dot{v}^2(t)) e^{-2(v(t)+c)}$ and using famous

Euler equation for optimization [8], $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = 0$, we will have following equation:

$$\ddot{v}(t) = \dot{v}^2(t) - 1$$

Or equivalently:

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = \lambda^2(t) - 1$$

and this equation results in:

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\alpha + e^{2t}}{\alpha - e^{2t}}$$

where by applying initial value, α is:

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - 1}$$

and by applying final value, T or reaching time is:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} Ln \frac{(\lambda_p - 1)(\lambda_m + 1)}{(\lambda_p + 1)(\lambda_m - 1)}$$




Fig. 2. diagram of $\lambda(t)$

Supposing $\lambda_m = 2$ and $\lambda_p = 20$, in Fig.2, $\lambda(t)$ has been depicted, (α is 3 and T is 0.4993). The important note in using this method is that due to discontinuity of $\lambda(t)$ in its root point, the design of λ_p should be such that before $\lambda(t)$ reaches to the root point, it should have reached to the λ_p and hence avoiding functional problem. For this example case, as shown in Fig.2, the design of λ_p is such that root point is after reaching time (T) and it is not depicted in Fig.2, because $\lambda(t)$ has already reached to its final value.

III. SIMULATION


In order to simulate the control performance following benchmark system has been used [5]:

$$\ddot{x}(t) = -a(t)\dot{x}^2 \cos(3x(t)) + u(t)$$


Where $a(t)$ is considered to be unknown but verifies:

$$1 \leq a(t) \leq 2$$

In the simulations $a(t)$ is:




3-a.Linear Rotating Sliding Surface




3-b.Linear Rotating Sliding Surface system

Fig.3. Linear Rotating Sliding Surface



4-a.Non Optimal Rotating Sliding Surface



4-b. Non Optimal Rotating Sliding system

Fig.4. Non Optimal Rotating Surface

$$a(t) = |\sin(t)| + 1$$

$\lambda_m = 2$ that fits to the initial values of the system, $\lambda_p = 20$ that is design criterion.

Sampling rate is 1K and T as the reaching time to the final goal sliding surface ($\lambda_p = 20$) is 0.499 second.

Fig.3 shows the system states and $\lambda(t)$ where there is a linear rotation in the surface:

Performance index in this case is:

$$J = 2.5230e^{-4}$$

Fig.4 shows the system states and $\lambda(t)$ where there is rotation in the surface with another non optimal rule, here the following rule is used [6]:

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda_p - \lambda_m}{1 + e^{-(at+b)}} + \lambda_m$$

where a and b are experimental parameters.

Performance index in this case is:


$$J = 3.0634e^{-4}$$

Finally, Fig.5 shows system states and $\lambda(t)$ with the proposed optimal solution.


Performance index in this case is:

$$J = 2.0498e^{-4}$$

As it is clear, in the case of optimal sliding surface, performance index is less than other two methods and this proves its advantage via simulation.



5-a. Optimal Rotating Sliding Surface



5-b. Optimal Rotating Sliding system

Fig.5. Optimal Rotating Surface

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper was focused on optimal rotating sliding mode control surface design. Simulation has been performed in presence of disturbance and in comparison with other rotating surface methods (including linear and nonlinear rotating methods), results confirm both stability and optimality of the proposed control method. In addition to rotating method, combining this optimal approach with surface moving methods can result in much better performance.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.Y. Hung, W. Gao, J.C. Hung, "Variable structure control: a survey", IEEE Transaction on Industrial Electronics, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2013
- [2] J.J. Slotine, S.S. Sastry, "Tracking control of nonlinear systems using sliding surfaces with application to robotic manipulators", Int. J. of Control, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2016
- [3] T.H. Chang, Y. Hurmuzlu, "Sliding control without reaching phase and its application to bipedal locomotion" J. of Dynamics, Systems, Measurement and Control, Vol. 115, 2014.
- [4] Seung-Bok Choi, Chae-Cheon Cheong, Dong-Won Park, "Moving Switching Surfaces for Robust Control of Second-Order Variable Structure Systems", Int. Journal of Control, 2013 Vol. 58, No.1
- [5] J. E. Slotine, W. Li, "*Applied Nonlinear Control*", Prentice-Hall Inc., New Jersey, 2011
- [6] S. Tokat, I. Eskin, M. Guzelkaya, "New Approaches for On-line Tuning of the Linear Sliding surface Slope in Sliding Mode Controllers", Turk J Engin, Vol. 11, No.1, 2013
- [7] H.R. Momeni, GH.A. Montazer, A.Kh. Sedigh; "A New Method for Calculating Bounds of Elements of Tracking Error Vector in Variable Structure Control", Modares Technical and Engineering Journal, Vol.1, No.3, Winter 2007
- [8] D.E. Kirk, "Optimal Control Theory, an Introduction", Prentice-Hall Inc. New Jersey, 2010